

# Additional Papers 1



## Planning Committee

Wed 10 Feb  
2021  
7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting

**REDDITCH** BOROUGH COUNCIL

*making  
a  
difference*

[www.redditchbc.gov.uk](http://www.redditchbc.gov.uk)

**If you have any queries on this agenda please contact Sarah Sellers**  
**Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH**  
**Tel: 01527 64252 (Ext 2884)**  
**Email: [sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk](mailto:sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk)**



# Planning

COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 10th February,  
2021

7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting - Microsoft  
Teams

## Agenda

### Membership:

Cllrs:

Gemma Monaco (Chair)  
Salman Akbar (Vice-Chair)  
Tom Baker-Price  
Roger Bennett  
Michael Chalk

Andrew Fry  
Julian Grubb  
Bill Hartnett  
Jennifer Wheeler

### 4. Confirmation of Minutes of Planning Committee held on 27th January 2021 (Pages 1 - 8)

Minutes attached

This page is intentionally left blank



## Planning Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

### Virutal Meeting

## MINUTES

### Present:

Councillors Michael Chalk (Chair), Tom Baker-Price, Roger Bennett, Michael Chalk, Andrew Fry, Julian Grubb, Bill Hartnett, Anthony Lovell, Jennifer Wheeler and Peter Fleming

### Also Present:

Karen Hanchett and Nigel Gorski - County Highways

### Officers:

Helena Plant, Paul Lester, Amar Hussain, Pauline Ross and David Kelly

### Democratic Services Officer:

Sarah Sellers

### 76. CHAIR'S WELCOME

The Democratic Services Officer opened the meeting by asking for nominations for a member of the Committee to act as Chair for the meeting as both the Chair and Vice-Chair had sent apologies.

Councillor Mike Chalk was duly elected as Chair for the meeting.

The Chair welcomed the Committee members, public speakers and officers to the virtual Planning Committee meeting being held via Microsoft Teams. The Chair explained that the meeting was being live streamed on the Council's YouTube channel to enable members of the public to observe the committee.

### 77. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Gemma Monaco who had chosen to participate in the meeting in her capacity as Ward Councillor. Councillor Anthony Lovell attended as substitute for Councillor Monaco. Apologies for absence were also received from Councillor Salman Akbar and Councillor Peter Fleming attended as substitute on behalf of Councillor Akbar.

.....  
Chair

# Planning Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

---

**78. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest. For transparency Councillor Peter Fleming asked for it to be noted that he lived in Brockhill but had only moved there recently and had had no prior involvement in the planning application at agenda item 6.

**79. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMITTEE  
HELD ON 13TH JANUARY 2021**

**RESOLVED that**

**The Minutes of the Planning Committee held on 13<sup>th</sup> January 2021 be confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chair.**

**80. UPDATE REPORTS**

It was noted that one additional papers pack and two update reports had been issued which had been circulated to the Members of the Committee electronically.

**81. APPLICATION 19/00977/HYB (REDDITCH APPLICATION) -  
LAND AT BROCKHILL EAST WEIGHTS LANE REDDITCH -  
PERSIMMON HOMES LIMITED**

Hybrid application for up to 960 dwellings consisting of a full application for 128 dwellings accessed off Weights Lane, new public open space, drainage system, engineering operations, associated works and an outline application, with all matters reserved with the exception of access, for the construction of the remaining dwellings with the access point off Cookridge Close, Hawling Street and Weights Lane and including a new District Centre, new play facilities, new highway network, public open space, new drainage system and surface water attenuation, engineering operations and all associated works including landscaping.

Officers outlined the application and reminded Members that the site straddled the administrative boundary between Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council, with part of the site falling into each area. As such parallel applications had been submitted to both Councils, and decisions would need to be made by this Planning Committee and by the Bromsgrove Planning Committee.

# Planning Committee

**Wednesday, 27 January 2021**

---

Officers indicated using the relevant plans the location of the site, its setting in the wider area and the position of the administrative boundary between Redditch and Bromsgrove. Members were also reminded that the site had been identified for housing development to meet the strategic needs of Redditch through the Local Plan making process and were referred to the plan from the Borough of Redditch Local Plan Allocation at page 9 of the Site Plans and Presentations Pack.

The full element of the application was for 128 dwellings, of which 76 would be situated in Redditch and 52 in Bromsgrove. The proposed dwellings would consist of a mixture of detached and semi-detached two, three and four bedroomed houses and 4 one bedroomed apartments. Provision had been made for 23 units of affordable housing to be mixed throughout the development. The individual house designs were similar to those found in the local area, and as such design, appearance and layout were all deemed to be appropriate.

Access to the 128 dwellings would be provided in the first instance through the existing estate roads pending completion of a new access point from Weights Lane. A scheme to provide highway improvements to Weights Lane formed part of the application and this would include installation of traffic lights to control the flow of traffic where the road narrowed under the railway bridge and improvements to footways. Members were referred to the plan at page 31 of the Site Plans and Presentations Pack.

Proposed condition 34 on page 63 of the agenda pack set a trigger point that no more than 100 dwellings could be brought into use before the highways improvements to Weights Lane were completed. Officers advised by way of verbal update, that following discussions with the applicant, it was now proposed to reduce the trigger point from 100 dwellings to 85 dwellings, or on the completion of the s278 works whichever was the sooner.

The outline application detailed points of access to the local highway network with all other matters reserved for subsequent approval, namely scale, appearance, layout and landscaping. These elements would form part of major planning applications which would come before the committee for decision in the future.

The outline element would deliver 23 hectares of residential development made up of up to 832 dwellings, a mixed use district centre of 0.8 hectares, a new internal highway network and 30 hectares of public open space comprising informal and formal open space, planting, drainage and play. Members were referred to the Capacity Plan on page 11 of the Site Plans and Presentations Pack

# Planning Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

---

showing the proposed location of five parcels of land for future house construction.

In addition to the highways improvements at Weights Lane, mitigation works were also proposed to the junction of Dagnell End Road with the A441. Improvements would be secured through a section 278 agreement and aimed to provide a “nil-detriment” solution in terms of capacity plus the installation of signal-controlled pedestrian crossings.

To mitigate the impact of the development section 106 contributions had been identified, and Members were referred to the most up to date list as set out on pages 1 to 3 of the second Update report issued on 27<sup>th</sup> January 2021.

At the discretion of the Chair times for public speaking were extended to 15 minutes in total for objectors and six minutes for the applicant, and the following speakers addressed the committee under the Council’s Public Speaking Rules: -

- Mr Craig Vale – local resident – objecting
- Mr Mark Hagger – local resident - objecting
- Mr Alan Bailes – on behalf of residents from “Bordesley Matters” - objecting
- Mr Suraj Varma – on behalf of Millwood Avenue residents on the Bovis Estate – objecting
- Councillor Gemma Monaco – ward member for Batchley and Brockhill Ward
- Mr David Onions – Planning Agent (Pegasus Group) – in support

Officers responded to questions from Members on a number of issues and in doing so it was noted that: -

## Highways issues

- The scheme for traffic lights on Weights Lane had been selected as the safest solution.
- There was already some street lighting present for a short distance along Weights Lane close to the A441 and the design being worked on for the highways improvements scheme included the potential for lighting to be installed along the full route although this would be determined through detailed design.
- Based on traffic modelling and the location of the proposed traffic lights on Weights Lane in relation to junctions, it was not anticipated that the vehicles waiting to pass through proposed railway bridge lights would extend back to the A441 Birmingham Road.

## Planning Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

---

- Any pre-existing issues of vehicles speeding on the A441 were outside of the scope of the planning application and subject to enforcement by the police. The Highway Authority was looking into the issue of speeding vehicles along the A441, although this was unrelated to the planning application.

### Planning

- The final figures of how many houses would be built on either side of the Bromsgrove/ Redditch boundary were not known at this stage.
- The section 106 monitoring fee of £8000 payable to Redditch Borough Council was calculated based on the approved charging schedule.
- The proposed section 106 contributions did not currently include a monitoring fee for Worcestershire County Council in relation to highway contributions, but subject to clarification of whether a charging schedule had been adopted by the County Council, Members could request that a contribution for this be added to the section 106 agreement.

In debating the application, whilst acknowledging some of the highways concerns raised by public speakers, Members referred to the significance of the application site and its allocation in the Local Plan as an area for housing development, and the weight that should be afforded to that aspect.

The application was therefore recommended for approval with an amendment to allow the Head of Planning and Regeneration to seek a section 106 contribution in relation to County Highways for a planning obligation monitoring fee.

In further debate Members discussed the possibility of amending trigger points for the two highway schemes, the issue of street lighting on Weights Lane, whether construction traffic could access via Weights Lane earlier in the development work and traffic implications for current residents in the Brockhill area and living in Bordesley. Although considered by the committee no additional matters were brought forward by way of amendments and the application as recommended with the one amendment referred to above was put to the vote.

### **RESOLVED that**

**Having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations: -**

**Planning**

## Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

---

**a. Minded to APPROVE Hybrid Planning Permission**

- b. That authority be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regeneration to determine the outline planning application following the receipt of a suitable and satisfactory legal mechanism in relation to the following:**

**i) Highways**

- Bromsgrove & Redditch IDP £780,000 (Redditch) and £469,429.03 (Bromsgrove)
- TRO Application The processing cost for a TRO for Weights Lane, in seeking to change the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph.
- Community Transport. Contribution £40,000 over 5 years
- Bus Service Strategy Contribution £324,000
- Bus Service Infrastructure Based on 3 pairs of stops with associated shelters only in the inbound direction. Contribution £40,000.

**ii) Education Infrastructure**

Transfer of a strip of land adjacent the new first school site to support the expansion of the school.

First school contribution calculated on a per plot basis

- £2,307 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling
- £3,461 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling
- £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

A Middle school contribution calculated on a per plot basis

- £2,308 per open market 2 or 3 bed dwelling
- £3,462 per open market 4 or more bed dwelling
- £ 923 per open market 2 or more bed flat

**iii) Off-site Open Space £405,000****iv) Waste Management Contribution**

Waste and recycling bins calculated as follows:

- Dwellings within the Redditch BC authority - Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £31.29 per dwelling
- Dwellings within the Bromsgrove DC authority - Refuse bins (1 x green bin / 1 x grey bin) £52.24 per dwelling

**v) Planning Obligation Monitoring Fee £7,500**

**Planning**

## Committee

Wednesday, 27 January 2021

---

vi) Redditch Town Centre (Enhancement Contribution)  
£520,320

vii) Bromsgrove and Redditch CCG Contribution £363,370

viii) Worcestershire Acute Hospitals Trust (WAHT) Contribution  
£459,390.86

And:

ix) The securing of a 30% provision of on-site affordable dwelling units for dwellings Redditch BC authority

x) The securing of a 40% provision of on-site affordable dwelling units for dwellings Bromsgrove DC authority

xi) The provision and future maintenance in perpetuity of the on-site play space, SuDs facilities and open space provision with appropriate mechanism (including commuted sum) to adopt the open space

xii) District Centre, outlining specification (including uses) and Marketing Plan

c. That authority be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regeneration to agree the final scope and detailed wording and numbering of conditions as set out on pages 48 to 66 of the main agenda subject to the alteration to the wording of conditions 1, 4, 15 and 28 as set out on pages 1 to 3 of the second Update report issued on 27<sup>th</sup> January 2021 and subject to the amendment of the trigger point in Condition 34 from 100 dwellings to 85 dwellings.

d. That the scope of the delegation granted under paragraph b. above be extended to cover the Head of Planning and Regeneration including in the legal mechanism, if appropriate, a Planning Obligation Monitoring Fee to be paid by the developer to Worcestershire County Council Highways Department.

**82. APPLICATION 20/00992/FUL - 3 VICARAGE VIEW REDDITCH  
B97 4RF - K HANDS**

Construction of 1 No. 3 bedroom dwelling

Officers outlined the application for a three bedroomed dwelling to be built in within the curtilage of number 3 Vicarage Road, to create a row of three adjoining houses. The application also provided for a new access to be created for the existing number 3, with two

# Planning Committee

**Wednesday, 27 January 2021**

---

additional parking spaces. The new dwelling would be accessed using the existing access onto the site and two further parking spaces would also be provided.

Officers took Members through the slides and photographs in the Site Plans and Presentations Pack. With regard to the current appearance of the site and concerns over lack of screening, officers confirmed to Members that a landscaping condition would be included as set out on page 73 of the agenda.

**RESOLVED that**

**having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be GRANTED subject to the conditions and informatives set out on pages 73 to 76 of the agenda.**

**83. APPLICATION 20/01418/FUL 2 VICARAGE CRESCENT  
REDDITCH B97 4RE - MISS HALEMA KHAN**

Garage conversion and second storey extension to side and rear

Officers described the application and took members through the slides in the presentations pack.

**RESOLVED that**

**having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be GRANTED subject to the conditions and informatives set out on pages 79 to 80 of the agenda.**

The Meeting commenced at 7.00 pm  
and closed at 9.47 pm